arXiv:1010.1194v2 [math.CA] 12 Dec 2010

On The Harmonic Analysis Associated to the Bessel-Struve Operator *

Lotfi Kamoun[1] and Selma Negzaoui[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir,
University of Monastir, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia
E-mail : kamoun.lotfi@planet.tn
[2] Preparatory Institute of Engineering Studies of Monastir,
University of Monastir, 5000 Monastir, Tunisia
E-mail : selma.negzaoui@issatgb.rnu.tn

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the Bessel-Struve transform, we establish an inversion theorem of the Weyl integral transform associated with this transform, in the case of half integers, we give a characterization of the range of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ by Bessel-Struve transform and we prove a Schwartz-Paley-Wiener theorem on $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$.

AMS Subject Classification: 42A38, 44A05, 44A20, 46F12
Keywords: Bessel-Struve operator, Bessel-Struve transform, Intertwining operator, Weyl integral transform, Paley-Wiener theorem, Schwartz-Paley-Wiener theorem.

1 Introduction

Discrete harmonic analysis associated with Bessel-Struve kernel was developed by Watson in [11]. He gave some results about "generalised Schlömilch

---

*The authors are supported by the DGRST research project 04/UR/15-02

series" which represent the Fourier series associated to Bessel-Struve kernel. Recently, L.Kamoun and M.Sifi looked to the Bessel-Struve operator

$$\ell_\alpha u(x) = \frac{d^2u}{dx^2}(x) + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x}\left[\frac{du}{dx}(x) - \frac{du}{dx}(0)\right]$$

which has Bessel-Struve kernel as eigenfunction. In [3], they considered the Intertwining operator associated with Bessel-Struve operator on $\mathbb{R}$ and expressed its inverse. A.Gasmi and M.Sifi introduced the Bessel-Struve transform on the dual space of entire functions on $\mathbb{C}$ in [1] and in [2] in order to study mean-periodic functions. In this paper, we consider the Bessel-Struve transform on $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathcal{F}^\alpha_{BS}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, S^\alpha_{-i\lambda}(x)\, |x|^{2\alpha+1}\, dx$$

where the Bessel-Struve kernel S^α_λ is given by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ S^\alpha_\lambda(x) = j_\alpha(i\lambda x) - ih_\alpha(i\lambda x)$$

If f is an even function, this operator coincides with the Hankel transform defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_\alpha(f)(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} f(t)\, j_\alpha(xt)\, t^{2\alpha+1}\, dt$$

The outline of the content of this paper is as follows

In section 2: We introduce the Bessel-Struve transform on $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$, we give a necessary condition for a function to be the range of $\mathcal{F}^\alpha_{BS}$ of a function in $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$. Besides, we prove that this operator is symmetric.

In section 3: we deal with the Weyl integral transform associated to Bessel-Struve operator.

In the beginning, we define the dual operator χ^*_α of the generalized Riemann Liouville integral χ_α introduced by L.Kamoun and M.Sifi in [3]. We use this operator to introduce Weyl integral associated to Bessel-Struve operator that we denote W_α by

$$\forall\, f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\,, \qquad \chi^*_\alpha\, T_{A\,f} = T_{W_\alpha(f)}$$

where T_f designates the distribution defined by the function f.

The Weyl transform verifies the following relation :

$$\forall\, f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}^\alpha_{BS}(f) = \mathcal{F} \circ W_\alpha(f)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the classical Fourier transform.
Then, we establish an inversion theorem of Weyl integral on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and we characterize the range of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ by W_α that we denote $\Delta_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore we give the expression of its inverse denoted $V_{\alpha|\Delta_\alpha(\mathbb{R})}$. Weyl integral associated to Bessel-Struve operator doesn't have the same properties like in the classical harmonic analysis: It presents a difficulty on a singularity in 0 and it doesn't save the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$.

In section 4: we give some properties of $\mathcal{F}^\alpha_{BS}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))$ for all $\alpha > -\dfrac{1}{2}$, we prove a Paley-Wiener theorem of Bessel-Struve transform in the case $\alpha = \dfrac{1}{2}$. Then, by induction, we deduce the result for half integers. Finally, we give the Paley-Wiener theorem for the space of distributions with bounded support called Schawartz-Paley-Wiener theorem.
Throughout the paper, we denote :

- $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$
- $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N}\backslash\{0\}$

Finally, we do not forget to specify that the Bessel-Struve operator and Bessel-Struve kernel were introduced by K. Trimèche in an unpublished paper[10].

2 Bessel-Struve transform

In this section, we define the Bessel-Struve transform and we give some results similar to those in the classical harmonic analysis.

First, we consider the differential operator $\ell_\alpha,\ \alpha > -\dfrac{1}{2}$, defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell_\alpha u(x) = \frac{d^2u}{dx^2}(x) + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x}\left[\frac{du}{dx}(x) - \frac{du}{dx}(0)\right] \tag{1}$$

with an infinitely differentiable function u on $\mathbb{R}$. This operator is called Bessel-Struve operator.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the differential equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ell_\alpha u(x) = \lambda^2 u(x) \\ u(0) = 1\ ,\ u'(0) = \dfrac{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+3/2)}\ . \end{cases}$$

possesses a unique solution denoted S_λ^α. This eigenfunction, called the Bessel-Struve kernel, is given by :

$$S_\lambda^\alpha(x) = j_\alpha(i\lambda x) - ih_\alpha(i\lambda x) \tag{2}$$

where j_α and h_α are respectively the normalized Bessel and Struve functions of index α. Those kernels are given as follows :

$$j_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) z^{-\alpha} J_\alpha(z) = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n}}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}$$

and

$$h_\alpha(z) = 2^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1) z^{-\alpha} \mathbf{H}_\alpha(z) = \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (z/2)^{2n+1}}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(n+\alpha+\frac{3}{2})}$$

(for more details one can see [11])
The kernel S_λ^α possesses the following integral representation :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad S_\lambda^\alpha(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\ \Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\lambda x t} dt \tag{3}$$

We denote by $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$, the space of real-valued functions f, measurable on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{1,\alpha} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|\, d\mu_\alpha(x) < +\infty\,,$$

where

$$d\mu_\alpha(x) = A(x)\,dx \quad \text{and} \quad A(x) = |\,x|^{2\alpha+1}$$

Definition 2.1 *We define the Bessel-Struve transform on $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ by*

$$\forall\,\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathcal{F}^\alpha_{B,S}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, S^\alpha_{-i\lambda}(x)\, d\mu_\alpha(x) \tag{4}$$

Proposition 2.1 The kernel S_λ^α has a unique extension to $\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}$. It satisfies the following properties :

(i) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad S^\alpha_{-i\lambda}(z) = S^\alpha_{-iz}(\lambda)$

(ii) $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad S^\alpha_{-\lambda}(z) = S^\alpha_\lambda(-z)$

(iii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\left|\dfrac{d^n}{dx^n} S^{\alpha}_{i\lambda}(x)\right| \leq |\lambda|^n$

In particular, we have for all λ and x in $\mathbb{R}$, $|S^{\alpha}_{i\lambda}(x)| \leq 1$

(iv) For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} S^{\alpha}_{-i\lambda}(x) = 0$

Proof. The relation (2) yields directly (i) and (ii).
(iii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} S^{\alpha}_{i\lambda}(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}(i\lambda)^n \int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} t^n e^{i\lambda x t} dt$$

So we find $|\dfrac{d^n}{dx^n} S^{\alpha}_{i\lambda}(x)| \leq \dfrac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|\lambda|^n \displaystyle\int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} dt$
(iv) From the asymptotic expansion of J_α and $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ p.199 and p.333 in [11], we deduce that $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} j_\alpha(\lambda x) = 0$ and $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} h_\alpha(\lambda x) = 0$. Then by relation (2) $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} S_\alpha(-i\lambda x) = 0$ □

Theorem 2.1 *Let f be a function in $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ then $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{R})$, where $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of continuous functions having 0 as limit in the infinity. Furthermore,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{1,\alpha} \tag{5}$$

Proof. It's clear that $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$.
From proposition 2.1, we get for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $\lim\limits_{\lambda \to +\infty} f(x) S^{\alpha}_{-i\lambda}(x) = 0$ and $|f(x) S^{\alpha}_{-i\lambda}(x)\, A(x)| \leq |f(x)\, A(x)|$
Since f be in $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$, we conclude, using the dominated convergence theorem, that $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ belongs to $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{1,\alpha}$$

□

Theorem 2.2 *Let f and g in $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(x)\, g(x)\, d\mu_\alpha(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(g)(x)\, f(x)\, d\mu_\alpha(x) \tag{6}$$

Proof. We take $\phi(t,x) = f(t) g(x) S^{\alpha}_{-ix}(t) A(t) A(x)$.
Since f and g are both in $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ and according to (iii) of proposition 2.1 and Fubini-Tonelli's theorem, we deduce that $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(t,x)|\, dt\, dx \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,1}\|g\|_{\alpha,1}$.
We obtain relation (6) by Fubini's theorem. □

3 Weyl integral transform

3.1 Bessel-Struve intertwining operator and its dual

$\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ designates the space of infinitely differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}$.
The Bessel-Struve intertwining operator on $\mathbb{R}$ denoted χ_α, introduced by L.Kamoun and M.Sifi in [3] is defined by:

$$\chi_\alpha(f)(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_0^1 (1-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} f(xt)\,dt \ , \quad f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{7}$$

Remark 3.1

$$\forall\, x\in\mathbb{R}\,,\ \forall\lambda\in\mathbb{C}\,, \qquad S_\lambda^\alpha(x) \ = \ \chi_\alpha(e^{\lambda\,\cdot})(x) \tag{8}$$

Definition 3.1 *we define the operator* χ_α^* *on* $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ *by*

$$< \chi_\alpha^*(T), f > = < T, \chi_\alpha f > \qquad , \quad f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{9}$$

We denote $\dfrac{d}{dx^2} = \dfrac{1}{2x}\dfrac{d}{dx}$. The following theorem is proved in [3]

Theorem 3.1 *The operator* χ_α, $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$, *is a topological isomorphism from* $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ *onto itself. The inverse operator* χ_α^{-1} *is given for all* $f\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ *by*

(i) *if* $\alpha = r+k\,,\ k\in\mathbb{N}\,,\ -\frac{1}{2} < r < \frac{1}{2}$

$$\chi_\alpha^{-1} f(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-r)} x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}\left[\int_0^x (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}|t|^{2\alpha+1} f(t)\,dt\right]$$

(ii) *if* $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}+k\,,\ k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\chi_\alpha^{-1} f(x) = \frac{2^{2k+1}k!}{(2k+1)!}\, x\,(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}\big(x^{2k+1}f(x)\big),\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}$$

Corollary 3.1 χ_α^* *is an isomorphism from* $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ *into itself.*

Proof. Since χ_α is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ into itself, we deduce the result by duality. □

Proposition 3.1 *For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, the distribution $\chi_\alpha^* T_{Af}$ is defined by the function $W_\alpha f$ having the following expression*

$$W_\alpha f(y) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{|y|}^{+\infty} (x^2-y^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\, x\, f(sgn(y)x)\, dx\ , \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^\star \tag{10}$$

called Weyl integral associated to Bessel-Struve operator.

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} < \chi_\alpha^\star T_{Af}, g > &= < T_{Af}, \chi_\alpha g > \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{+\infty} (\int_0^x (x^2-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} g(t)\, dt) x\, f(x)\, dx \\ &\quad - \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^0 (\int_x^0 (x^2-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} g(t)\, dt) x\, f(x)\, dx \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem, a change of variable and Chasles relation , we obtain for all $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$

$$< \chi_\alpha^\star T_{Af}, g > = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{|t|}^{+\infty} (x^2-t^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} x\, f(sgn(t)x)\, dx \right) g(t)\, dt$$

Therefore, the distribution $\chi_\alpha^\star T_{Af}$ is represented by the function $W_\alpha f$ □

Remark 3.2 *Let $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. The operator χ_α and W_α are related by the following relation*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_\alpha f(x)\, g(x) A(x)\, dx \ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, W_\alpha g(x) dx \tag{11}$$

Lemma 3.1 *Let $a > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with support included in $[-a,a]$. Then $W_\alpha f$ is infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^\star$ and supp $(W_\alpha f)$ is included in $[-a,a]$. Furthermore, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^\star$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{c_\alpha\, (sgn(x))^k}{x^n} \int_{|x|}^{+\infty} (y^2-x^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} y^{k+1} f^{(k)}(y\, sgn(x))\, dy \tag{12}$$

where

$$c_\alpha = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)\, C_n^k \Gamma(2\alpha+2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})\,\Gamma(2\alpha+2-n+k)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $supp\,(f) \subseteq [-a,a]$.
By change of variable $W_\alpha f$ can be written

$$W_\alpha f(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}|x|^{2\alpha+1}\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\,t\,f(tx)\,dt \quad , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^\star \quad (13)$$

Then, $W_\alpha f(x) = A(x)\,\psi(x)$ where

$$\psi(x) = \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_1^{\frac{a}{|x|}}(t^2-1)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\,t\,f(tx)\,dt \quad , \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^*$$

If $|x| > a$ then $|tx| > a$ and $f(tx) = 0$ so $\psi(x) = 0$. Consequently, we have $supp\,(\psi) \subseteq [-a,a]$.
Since A and ψ are both infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^*$, we have $W_\alpha f$ is infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^*$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k\,A^{(n-k)}(x)\,\psi^{(k)}(x)$$

By a change of variable one obtains

$$\psi^{(k)}(x) = |x|^{-2\alpha-k-1}\frac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}\int_{|x|}^{a}(y^2-x^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\,y^{k+1}\,f^{(k)}(sgn(x)y)\,dy$$

Therefore
$(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(x) =$

$$\frac{a_\alpha}{x^n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{C_n^k(sgn(x))^k\Gamma(2\alpha+2)}{\Gamma(2\alpha+2-n+k)}\int_{|x|}^{a}(y^2-x^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}y^{k+1}f^{(k)}(y\,sgn(x))\,dy$$

where $\quad a_\alpha = \dfrac{2\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}$ □

Corollary 3.2 *Let f be in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. From relation (12), we deduce that

$$\lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y>0}} y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y<0}} y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(y)$$

exist.
Consequently we obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. □

Proposition 3.2 *W_α is a bounded operator from $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$*

Proof. Let $f \in L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|\,|x|^{2\alpha+1}\,dx < +\infty$
Using Fubini-Tonelli's theorem and a change of variable, we get :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_1^{+\infty} (t^2-1)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} t |f(ty)|\,dt \right) |y|^{2\alpha+1}\,dy \ = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)||x|^{2\alpha+1}\,dx \right) \left(\int_1^{+\infty} (t^2-1)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} t^{-2\alpha-1}\,dt \right) < +\infty$$

Invoking relation(13), we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |W_\alpha f(y)|\,dy \quad < \ +\infty$
and $\|W_\alpha(f)\|_1 \ \leq C\ \|f\|_{1,\alpha}$ □

Proposition 3.3 *We have*

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})\,, \qquad \mathcal{F}^\alpha_{B,S}(f) \ = \ \mathcal{F} \circ W_\alpha(f) \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the classical Fourier transform defined on $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(g)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. Using relation(11) and relation (8), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F} \circ W_\alpha(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\,\chi_\alpha(e^{-ixt})\,A(t)\,dt = \mathcal{F}^\alpha_{B,S}(f)(x)$$

□

3.2 Inversion of Weyl integral

Lemma 3.2 *Let $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^*)$, m and p are two integers nonnegative, we have*

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^*, \qquad \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^p (x^m\, g(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p} \beta_i^p\, x^{m-2p+i}\, g^{(i)}(x) \tag{15}$$

where β_i^p are constants depending on i, p and m.

Proof. We will proceed by induction. The relation (15) is true for $p = 0$.
Suppose that (15) is true at the order $p \geq 0$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{p+1}(x^m\, g(x)) &= \frac{d}{dx^2}(\sum_{i=0}^{p}\beta_i^p\, x^{m-2p+i}\, g^{(i)}(x))\\
&= \frac{1}{2x}\sum_{i=0}^{p}\beta_i^p\,[(m+i-2p)x^{m-2p+i-1}\, g^{(i)}(x)+x^{m+i-2p}\, g^{(i+1)}(x)]\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{p}\frac{1}{2}[(m+i-2p)\beta_i^p+\frac{1}{2}\beta_{i-1}^p]x^{m+i-2(p+1)}\, g^{(i)}(x)+\\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}\beta_0^p(m-2p)x^{m-2(p+1)}g(x)+\frac{1}{2}\beta_p^p\, x^{m-(p+1)}g^{(p+1)}(x)\\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{p+1}\beta_i^{p+1}x^{m+i-2(p+1)}\, g^{(i)}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$\beta_{p+1}^{p+1}=\frac{1}{2}\beta_p^p\,,\ \beta_0^{p+1}=\frac{1}{2}\beta_0^p(m-2p)$$

and

$$\forall 1\leq i\leq p\,,\ \beta_i^{p+1}=\frac{1}{2}(m+i-2p)\beta_i^p+\frac{1}{2}\beta_{i-1}^p$$

□

We designate by $\mathcal{K}_0$ the space of functions f infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^*$ with bounded support and verifying for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y>0}} y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(y) \quad\text{and}\quad \lim_{\substack{y\to 0\\ y<0}} y^n(W_\alpha f)^{(n)}(y)$$

exist.

Proposition 3.4 *Let f a function in $\mathcal{K}_0$. Then the distribution $(\chi_\alpha^*)^{-1}T_f$ is defined by the function denoted $A\,V_\alpha f$, where $V_\alpha f$ has the following expression*

(i) *If $\alpha=k+\frac{1}{2}$, $k\in\mathbb{N}$*

$$V_\alpha f(x)=(-1)^{k+1}\frac{2^{2k+1}k!}{(2k+1)!}\left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1}(f(x))\,,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^\star$$

(ii) *If $\alpha=k+r,\ k\in\mathbb{N}\,,\ -\frac{1}{2}<r<\frac{1}{2}$,*

$$V_\alpha f(x)=c_1\int_{|x|}^{+\infty}(y^2-x^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{d}{dy^2}\right)^{k+1}(f)(sgn(x)y)\,y\,dy\,,\ \ x\in\mathbb{R}^\star$$

where $\quad c_1=\dfrac{(-1)^{k+1}2\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-r)}$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ then we have
$< (\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1} T_f, g > = < (\chi_\alpha^{-1})^\star T_f, g > = < T_f, \chi_\alpha^{-1} g >$
First case $\alpha = k + \dfrac{1}{2}\,,\ k \in \mathbb{N}$
Invoking (ii) of theorem 3.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} < (\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1} T_f, g > &= \frac{2^{2k+1}\,k!}{(2k+1)!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,x \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,dx \\ &= \frac{2^{2k+1}\,k!}{(2k+1)!}\,(I_1\,+\,I_2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^\infty f(x)\,x \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,dx$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 f(x)\,x \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,dx$$

By integration by parts we have

$$I_1 = \left[\frac{1}{2} f(x) (\frac{d}{dx^2})^k (x^{2k+1} g)(x)\right]_0^{+\infty} - \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right) f(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^k (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,x\,dx$$

According to relation (15) for $p = k$ and $m = 2k+1$, we find that

$$\left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^k (x^{2k+1}\,g(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \beta_i^k x^{i+1}\,g^{(i)}(x).$$

and

$$I_1 = -\int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right) f(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^k (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,x\,dx$$

Let $1 \le p \le k+1$. After $p-1$ integrations by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = &\left[(-1)^{p-1} (\frac{d}{dx^2})^{p-1} (f(x)) (\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1-p} (x^{2k+1} g(x))\right]_0^{+\infty} \\ &+ (-1)^p \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^p f(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k-p+1} (x^{2k+1}\,g(x))\,x\,dx \end{aligned}$$

Using relation (15) again, we find

$$(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{p-1} (f(x)) (\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1-p} (x^{2k+1} g(x)) = x \sum_{i=0}^{k+1-p} \beta_i^{k+1-p} x^i g^{(i)}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \beta_i^{p-1} x^i f^{(i)}(x)$$

Since f be in $\mathcal{K}_0$, we obtain

$$I_1 = (-1)^p \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^p f(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k-p+1} (x^{2k+1}\, g(x))\, x\, dx$$

For $p = k+1$ we find

$$I_1 = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} f(x)\, g(x)\, x^{2k+2}\, dx$$

As the same we establish that

$$I_2 = (-1)^{k+1} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} f(x)\, g(x)\, x^{2k+2}\, dx$$

Consequently,

$$< (\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1}\, T_f, g > = \frac{2^{2k+1}\, k!}{(2k+1)!}(-1)^{k+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} f(x)\, g(x)\, x^{2k+2}\, dx$$

Which proves the wanted result for $\alpha = k + \dfrac{1}{2}$.

Second case $\alpha = k + r\,,\ k \in \mathbb{N}\,,\ \dfrac{-1}{2} < r < \dfrac{1}{2}$
By virtue of (i) of theorem 3.1 and a change of variable, we can write

$$\chi_\alpha^{-1} g(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}x}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-r)} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} (x^{2k+1}\, h(x))$$

where

$$h(x) = \int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} g(xu)\, u^{2\alpha+1}\, du$$

It's clear that $h \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, we proceed in a similar way as in the first case, we just replace the function g by the function h and we obtain

$$< (\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1}\, T_f, g > = c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{d}{dx^2}\right)^{k+1} f(x)\, h(x)\, x^{2k+2}\, dx$$

Next, by a change of variable

$$< (\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1}\, T_f, g >= c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(x) \left(\int_0^x (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} g(t) |t|^{2\alpha+1} dt\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\,(-1)^{k+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-r)}(J_1+J_2)$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(x)\,\left(\int_0^x (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} g(t)\,|t|^{2\alpha+1}\,dt\right)\,dx$$

and

$$J_2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(x)\,\left(\int_0^x (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} g(t)\,|t|^{2\alpha+1}\,dt\right)\,dx$$

Applying Fubini's theorem at J_1 and J_2, we obtain

$$J_1 = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_t^{+\infty} (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(x)\,dx\right)\,g(t)\,|t|^{2\alpha+1}\,dt$$

and

$$J_2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_{-\infty}^t (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\,x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(x)\,dx\right)\,g(t)\,|t|^{2\alpha+1}\,dt$$

making a change of variable in J_2 and using Chasles relation , we get $<(\chi_\alpha^\star)^{-1}\,T_f, g>=$

$$c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{|t|}^{+\infty} (x^2-t^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}} x(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f(sgn(t)x)\,dx\right)\,g(t)\,|t|^{2\alpha+1}\,dt$$

□

Remark 3.3 *From proposition 3.4 we deduce that the operators* V_α *and* χ_α^{-1} *are related by the following relation*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_\alpha f(x)\,g(x)A(x)\,dx \;=\; \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\,\chi_\alpha^{-1} g(x)\,dx \tag{16}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{K}_0$ *and* $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$

Lemma 3.3 *Let* f *be in* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. *We have* $W_\alpha(f) \in \mathcal{K}_0$ *and* $\quad V_\alpha(W_\alpha(f)) = f$

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, by lemma 3.1 we have $W_\alpha(f) \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Using relations (16) and (11), we obtain for all $g \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_\alpha(W_\alpha f)(x)\, g(x)A(x)\, dx \; = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, g(x)A(x)\, dx$$

Thus

$$V_\alpha(W_\alpha(f))(x)\, A(x) = f(x)\, A(x) \qquad a.e \;\; x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Since $f\,A$ and $V_\alpha \circ W_\alpha(f)\,A$ are both continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^\star$ we have $V_\alpha \circ W_\alpha(f)(x) = f(x)$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}^\star$ therefore $V_\alpha \circ W_\alpha(f)(x) = f(x)$ for all x in $\mathbb{R}$. $\square$

For $\alpha = k + \frac{1}{2}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{K}_0$ of functions f infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^*$ with support included in $[-a, a]$ verifying the following condition :

$$(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f \text{ can be extended to a function belonging to } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This space is provided with the topology defined by the semi norms ρ_n where

$$\rho_n(f) = \sup_{\substack{0 \le p \le n \\ x \in [-a,a]}} \left| \Big((\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} f \Big)^{(p)}(x) \right| \qquad , \; n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We consider , for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space

$$\Delta_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{a \ge 0} \Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$$

endowed with the inductive limit topology.

Lemma 3.4 *For all f in $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^*, \qquad [W_{\frac{1}{2}} f]'(x) = \, -x\, f(x)$$

and

$$\forall\, \alpha > \frac{1}{2}\, , \; \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^*, \qquad [W_\alpha f]'(x) = -2\, \alpha x W_{\alpha-1} f(x)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$

$$\text{we have } W_{\frac{1}{2}}f(y) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1)}\displaystyle\int_y^a x\, f(x)\, dx & if\, y > 0 \\ \dfrac{2\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1)}\displaystyle\int_{-y}^a x\, f(-x)\, dx & if\, y < 0 \end{cases}$$

We deduce that $\forall\, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$, $[W_{\frac{1}{2}}f]'(y) = -y\, f(y)$

Now, we take $\alpha > \dfrac{1}{2}$, by lemma 3.1 $supp(W_\alpha f) \subset [-a, a]$.
Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, +\infty[)$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} < [W_\alpha f]', \varphi > &= - < W_\alpha f, \varphi' > \\ &= -a_\alpha \int_0^a \int_y^a (x^2 - y^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}} x\, f(x)\, dx\, \varphi'(y)\, dy \end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini's theorem and an integration by parts we obtain
$$< [W_\alpha f]', \varphi >= -a_\alpha \int_0^a \int_0^x (2\alpha - 1) y (x^2 - y^2)^{\alpha - \frac{3}{2}} \varphi(y)\, dy\, x\, f(x)\, dx$$
Applying Fubini's theorem again we have
$$< [W_\alpha f]', \varphi >= -2\alpha \int_0^a y\, W_{\alpha-1} f(y)\, \varphi(y)\, dy =< -2y\, W_{\alpha-1} f, \varphi >$$
This proves that the derivative of the distribution $W_\alpha f$ is the distribution defined by the function $-2\alpha x\, W_{\alpha-1}$ on $]0, +\infty[$. The theorem III p.54 in [6] allows us to say that the derivative on $]0, +\infty[$ of the function $W_\alpha f$ is the function $-2\alpha\, x W_{\alpha-1} f$.
In the same way we obtain that the derivative on $]-\infty, 0[$ of the function $W_\alpha f$ is the function $-2\alpha\, x W_{\alpha-1} f$. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 *The operator* $W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ *is a topological isomorphism from* $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ *into* $\Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ *and its inverse is* $V_{k+\frac{1}{2}|\Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}$.

Proof. We will proceed by induction. According to lemma 3.4 we have $W_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})) \subset \Delta_{a,\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$.
Suppose for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ that $W_{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})) \subset \Delta_{a,k-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. Let f be in $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ then according to lemma 3.4 and the induction hypothesis, we deduce that $(\dfrac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1} W_{k+\frac{1}{2}} f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore from lemma 3.1 we conclude that $W_{k+\frac{1}{2}} f \in \Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$.
In the other hand, let $g \in \Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$. From proposition 3.4, $V_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(g)$ can be extended to a function in $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$. Since $W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(V_{k+\frac{1}{2}})(g) = g$ and by lemma

3.3 we deduce that $W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ into $\mathcal{D}_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})$ and its inverse is $V_{k+\frac{1}{2}|\Delta_{a,k+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}$.
We have

$$\rho_n(W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}f) = \sup_{\substack{0\leq p\leq n\\ x\in[-a,a]}} \left|\left((\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}f\right)^{(p)}(x)\right|$$

From proposition 3.4 and lemma 3.3

$$\rho_n(W_{k+\frac{1}{2}}f) = C \sup_{\substack{0\leq p\leq n\\ x\in[-a,a]}} |f^{(p)}(x)|$$

which proves the wanted result. □

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we take $\alpha = k + r\ ,\ r \in]\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}[$.

We denote by $\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$ the subspace of $\mathcal{K}_0$ of functions f infinitely differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^*$ with support included in $[-a, a]$ verifying the following condition :
$(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}\left(\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}f(xt)\,t\,dt\right)$ can be extended to a function belonging to $|x|^{2r-1}\,\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

This space is provided with the topology defined by the semi norms q_n where

$$q_n(f) = \sup_{\substack{0\leq p\leq n\\ x\in[-a,a]}} \left|D^p\left(|x|^{-2r+1}(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}\left(\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}f(xt)\,t\,dt\right)\right)\right|$$

We consider, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the space

$$\Delta_{k+r}(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{a\geq 0}\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$$

endowed with the inductive limit topology.

Lemma 3.5 *We have for all f in $\Delta_{k+r}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$V_{k+r}(f) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f)) = f$$

Proof. Let $f \in \Delta_{k+r}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$V_{k+r}(f)(y) = \int_{|y|}^{+\infty}(x^2-y^2)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_i^{k+1}x^i(sgn(y))^i f^{(i)}(sgn(y)x)x\,dx$$

$$= |y|^{-2r+1}\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_i^{k+1}y^it^if^{(i)}(yt)t\,dt$$
$$= |y|^{-2r+1}\sum_{i=0}^{k+1}\beta_i^{k+1}y^i(\frac{d}{dy})^i\left(\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}f(yt)\,t\,dt\right)$$
$$= |y|^{-2r+1}(\frac{d}{dy^2})^{k+1}\left(\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}f(yt)\,t\,dt\right)$$

Then $V_{k+r}(f)\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and from relation (11), for all $g\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f))(x)\,g(x)\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}}V_{k+r}(f)(x)\,\chi_{k+r}(g(x))A(x)\,dx$$

By relation(16), we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f))(x)\,g(x)\,dx=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)\,g(x)\,dx$$

Therefore

$$W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f))(x)=f(x)\ ,\qquad a.e.\ x\in\mathbb{R}$$

Since $W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f))$ and f are both continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^*$, we get $\forall\, x\in\mathbb{R}^*\ ,\qquad W_{k+r}(V_{k+r}(f))(x)=f(x)$ □

Theorem 3.3 *W_{k+r} is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ into $\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$ and its inverse is $V_{k+r|\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})}$*

Proof. Let $f\in\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$, from proposition 3.1 and lemma 3.3, we can write

$$|x|^{-2r+1}(\frac{d}{dx^2})^{k+1}\left(\int_1^{+\infty}(t^2-1)^{-r-\frac{1}{2}}W_{k+r}(f)(xt)\,t\,dt\right)=V_{k+r}(W_{k+r}(f))=f$$

which proves that $W_{k+r}(f)\in\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$.
Furthermore, by lemma 3.5 and lemma 3.3, one can deduce that W_{k+r} is bijective and $V_{k+r|\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})}$ is its inverse on $\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$

Now, the fact that

$$q_n(W_{k+r}(f))=Cp_n(f)$$

allows us to conclude that W_{k+r} is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ into $\Delta_{a,k+r}(\mathbb{R})$. □
The following theorem is a consequence of theorem 3.2 and theorem 3.3 .

Theorem 3.4 *W_α is a topological isomorphism from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ into $\Delta_\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ and its inverse is $V_{\alpha|\Delta_\alpha(\mathbb{R})}$*

4 Paley Wiener type theorem associated to Bessel-Struve transform

In this section we will try to characterize the range of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ by Bessel-Struve transform.

4.1 Some properties of Bessel-Struve transform on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

Proposition 4.1 *Let f be a function in $\mathcal{K}_0$. Then the classical Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f)$ can be extended to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$ that we denote again $\mathcal{F}(f)$ and we have*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^\star, \qquad (iz)^k \mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k)}(x)(e^{-ixz} - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-izx)^n}{n!})\,dx \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We have, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Im(z) < b$,

$$|f(x)e^{-ixz}| \leq |f(x)|e^{|x|b}$$

Since f has a bounded support and the function : $z \longrightarrow e^{-ixz} f(x)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$.
To prove relation (17), we proceed by induction.
For $k = 1$ we can write

$$iz\mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} f(x) ize^{-ixz} dx + \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} f(x) ize^{-ixz} dx \right)$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$iz\mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} f'(x)(e^{-ixz} - 1)dx + \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} f'(x)(e^{-ixz} - 1)dx \right)$$

Therefore

$$iz\mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x)(e^{-ixz} - 1)dx$$

We suppose that we have, for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$(iz)^k \mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k)}(x)(e^{-ixz} - \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-izx)^n}{n!})\,dx$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(iz)^{k+1}\mathcal{F}(f)(z) &= iz(iz)^k\mathcal{F}(f)(z)\\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} f^{(k)}(x)iz(e^{-ixz}-\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\frac{(-izx)^n}{n!})\,dx\right.\\
&\qquad\left.+\int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} f^{(k)}(x)iz(e^{-ixz}-\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\frac{(-izx)^n}{n!})\,dx\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By integration by parts, we find

$$(iz)^{k+1}\mathcal{F}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k+1)}(x)(e^{-ixz}-\sum_{n=0}^{k}\frac{(-izx)^n}{n!})\,dx$$

which completes the proof. □

Remark 4.1 *we have for f in $\mathcal{K}_0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(k)}(t)e^{-itz}dt$ is generally divergent. The relation (17) means that we have for f in $\mathcal{K}_0$ $(iz)^k\mathcal{F}(f)$ is the finite part of the divergent integral citing below. (For the definition of the finite part of the divergent integral, one can see [6]).*

Theorem 4.1 *Let $a > 0$ and f a function in $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ then $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ can be extended to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$ that we denote again $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ verifying*

$$\forall k\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}\,,\qquad |\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(z)| \le Ce^{a|z|} \tag{18}$$

Proof. Using proposition 4.1, corollary 3.2 and relation (14), we find that $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)$ can be extended to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$ and

$$\forall k\in\mathbb{N}^{\star}\,,\qquad (iz)^k\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}(W_\alpha f)^{(k)}(x)\sum_{n=k}^{+\infty}\frac{(-izx)^n}{n!}\,dx \tag{19}$$

Then

$$|z|^k|\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(z)| \le |z|^k\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x^k\,(W_\alpha f)^{(k)}(x)|\,\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{|zx|^n}{n!}\,dx$$

Therefore

$$\text{if } z\neq 0\,,\quad |\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(z)| \le \int_{-a}^{a}|x^k\,(W_\alpha f)^{(k)}(x)|\,dx\;.\;e^{a|z|}$$

and

$$\text{if } z = 0\,, \quad |\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)(0)| \leq \|f\|_{1,\alpha}$$

So, we obtain the relation (18). □

Proposition 4.2 *Let $a > 0$ and f a function in $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$ then we have*

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}\,, \quad [\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)]^{(n)} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}) \tag{20}$$

Proof. Using The relation (14) and the derivation theorem we obtain the following equality

$$[\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(f)]^{(n)} = \mathcal{F}((-it)^n W_\alpha f)$$

Since $W_\alpha f \in \mathcal{K}_0$ then $t^n W_\alpha f$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and the relation (20) can be deduced. □

4.2 Range of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ by Bessel-Struve transform for half integers

Let $a > 0$, $\mathcal{H}_a$ designates the space of entire functions f verifying :

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}\,,\ \exists\, c_n > 0\,;\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}\,, \qquad (1+|z|^2)^n |f(z)| e^{-a\, Im(z)} \,<\, c_n$$

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{a>0} \mathcal{H}_a$$

We introduce the space $\Lambda_{a,\frac{1}{2}}$ the space of entire functions g verifying

$$\exists\, h \in \mathcal{H}_a\ \forall\ z \in \mathbb{C}^* \qquad g(z) = \frac{h'(z) - h'(0)}{z} \tag{21}$$

and we denote $\Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}} = \bigcup_{a>0} \Lambda_{a,\frac{1}{2}}$

Theorem 4.2 *We have*

$$\mathcal{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{BS}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})) = \Lambda_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Using relation (19), we have

$$\mathcal{F}^{\frac{1}{2}}_{BS}(f)(z) = \lim_{\substack{\epsilon \to 0 \\ \epsilon > 0}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-\epsilon} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(t) e^{-izt} dt + \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(t) e^{-izt} dt \right)$$

By integration by parts

$$-iz\,\mathcal{F}_{BS}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f)(z) = -c + \mathcal{F}(xf)(z)$$

where

$$c = \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x>0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x<0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x)$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{F}(x\,f)(z) = i[\mathcal{F}(f)]'(z)$ and for $z=0$, we get $c = i[\mathcal{F}(f)]'(0)$. Since $f\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{F}(f)\in\mathcal{H}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{BS}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f)(z) = \frac{[\mathcal{F}(-f)]'(z) - [\mathcal{F}(-f)]'(0)}{z}$$

Now let g an entire function verifying relation (21).
From classical Paley-Wiener theorem we have

$$\exists\, f\in\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } h(z) = \mathcal{F}(f)(z)$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{F}(f)]'(z) - [\mathcal{F}(f)]'(0) = z\,g(z)$$

Therefore, for $\lambda\neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= -\frac{i}{\lambda}(\mathcal{F}(t\,f)(\lambda) - \mathcal{F}(t\,f)(0))\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\Big(\frac{-\sin(\lambda\,t)}{\lambda\,t} + i\frac{1-\cos(\lambda\,t)}{\lambda\,t}\Big)t^2\,dt\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) S_{\frac{1}{2}}(-i\,\lambda\,t)\,t^2\,dt\\ &= \mathcal{F}_{BS}^{\frac{1}{2}}(-f)(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

□

By induction, we can build the range of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ by $\mathcal{F}_{B,S}^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ from theorem 4.2 and the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3 *For $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, the following assertions are equivalent*

(i) $g = \mathcal{F}_{BS}^{\alpha}(f)$ where $f\in\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$

(ii) *g is extented to an entire function $\tilde{g}$ verifying*

$$\exists\, h\in\mathcal{F}_{BS}^{\alpha-1}(\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}))\ ;\ \ \forall\, z\in\mathbb{C} \qquad \tilde{g}(z) = \alpha\,\frac{h'(z) - h'(0)}{z} \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. We proceed in a similar way as in theorem 4.2 and we obtain

$$iz\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{BS}(f)(z) - c = \mathcal{F}([W_\alpha f]')(z)$$

where

$$c = \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x>0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x<0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x)$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{F}([W_\alpha f]')(x) = -i\,\alpha[\mathcal{F}(W_{\alpha-1} f)]'(x)$. Since $f \in \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{F}(W_{\alpha-1} f) \in \mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS}(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{BS}(f)$ verifies relation (22).
Now let g be an entire function verifying relation (22).
Then

$$\exists\, f \in \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R}) \;\; such\, that \;\, z\, g(z) - c = \alpha[\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS}(f)]'(z)$$

Therefore

$$\alpha([\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS}(f)]'(z) - [\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS}(f)]'(0)) = z\, g(z)$$

In the other hand $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{BS}(f)(z) = \frac{1}{iz}\big(\lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x>0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x<0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \alpha[\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS} f]'\big)$
then we take $z = 0$ we have

$$-\alpha\,[\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS}(f)]'(0) = \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x>0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x<0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x)$$

Finally, by integration by parts we get

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left((\lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x>0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) - \lim_{\substack{x\to 0\\ x<0}} W_{\frac{1}{2}} f(x)) - \alpha[\mathcal{F}^{\alpha-1}_{BS} f]' \right) = i\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{BS}(f)(z)$$

□

4.3 Schwartz Paley Wiener theorem

In this subsection we will prove a Paley Wiener theorem in distributions space with bounded support. (we can cite these references : [6] [5])

Definition 4.1 *We define the Fourier Bessel-Struve Transform on* $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ *by*

$$\forall\, T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})\,,\; \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(T)(\lambda) \;=< T, S(-i\lambda.) > \tag{23}$$

Proposition 4.4 *For all* $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(T) \;=\; \mathcal{F} \circ \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T) \tag{24}$$

Proof. We have $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}(T)(\lambda) \;=< T, S(-i\lambda.) \;>=< T, \chi_{\alpha}(exp(-i\lambda.)) \;>$
$=< \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}T, exp(-i\lambda.) >= \;\mathcal{F} \circ \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T)$ □

Lemma 4.1 *Let* $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ *, then*

$$supp(T) \subseteq [-b, b] \Longleftrightarrow supp(\chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T)) \subseteq [-b, b]$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that $supp(T)$ included in $[-b, b]$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $[-b, b]^c$ we have $\chi_{\alpha}\varphi$ have the support included in $[-b, b]^c$ therefore $< \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T), \varphi >=< T, \chi_{\alpha}\varphi >= 0$ then $\chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T)$ have the support in $[-b, b]$

Now we consider a distribution T such that $supp\,(\chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T))$ included in $[-b, b]$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ with support in $[-b, b]^c$ we have

$$< T, \varphi >=< (\chi^{-1}_{\alpha})^{\star} \circ \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T), \varphi >=< \chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T), \chi^{-1}_{\alpha}\varphi >$$

Using theorem 3.1 $supp\,(\chi^{-1}_{\alpha}\varphi)$ included in $[-b, b]$ so $< T, \varphi >= 0$ which complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.3 *Let* $b > 0$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$*.There is an equivalence between the two following assertions*

1. *There exists a distribution* $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ *with support included in* $[-b, b]$ *such that* $f \;=\; \mathcal{F}_{B,S}(T)$
2. f *is extended to an analytic function* $\tilde{f}$ *on* $\mathbb{C}$ *such that*

$$\exists m \in \mathbb{N}\,,\; \exists c > 0\,,\; \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \;\; |\tilde{f}(z)| \;\leq\; c\,(1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}\, e^{b(Im(z))} \tag{25}$$

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$ such that $supp(T)$ included in $[-b, b]$ then from lemma 4.1 and the classical Paley-Wiener Schwartz , (one can see [5]), on the distribution $\chi^{\star}_{\alpha}(T)$ we obtain $\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}_{B,S}$ verifies 2).

We suppose that f is extended to an analytic function $\tilde{f}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ verifying (25) then there exists a distribution W with support included in $[-b, b]$ such that $f = \mathcal{F}(W)$. Using corollary 3.1 we can deduce the wanted result. □

References

[1] A.Gasmi and M.Sifi, The Bessel-Struve Intertwining Operator on $\mathbb{C}$ and Mean-periodic Functions, Hindawi Publishing Corp,IJMMS 2004:59,3171-3185.

[2] A.Gasmi and M.Sifi, Analytic Mean-Periodic Functions Associated with the Bessel-Struve Operator on a Disk,Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. ISSN 0973-1768 Vol.1 No.1(2005), pp. 55-68.

[3] L. Kamoun and M. Sifi, Bessel-Struve Intertwining Operator and Generalized Taylor Series on the Real Line, Integral Transforms and Special Functions, Vol.16, January 2005, 39-55.

[4] Vo-Khac Kohan, Distributions Analyse de Fourier Opérateurs aux Dérivées Partielles, TomeI, Librairie Vuiebert 1972

[5] Vo-Khac Kohan, Distributions Analyse de Fourier Opérateurs aux Dérivées Partielles, TomeII, Librairie Vuiebert 1972

[6] L.Schwartz, Théorie des Distributions, Hermann Paris 1966

[7] K. Trimèche, Generalised Harmonic Analysis and Wavelets Packets, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2001

[8] K. Trimèche, Transformation Intégrale de Weyl et Théorème de Paley Wiener Associés à un Oprateur Différentiel Singulier sur $(0, +\infty)$, J.Math.pures et appli. 60,(1981), 51-98

[9] K. Trimèche, Inversion Formulas for the Dunkl Intertwining Operator and Its Dual on Spaces of Functions and Distributions, SIGMA 4 , 2008, 087, 22 p.

[10] K. Trimèche, A New Harmonic Analysis Related To The Dunkl Operators Theory, preprint

[11] G.N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1966.